Annals on Economy, Law and Sociology, Volume 7, Number 1/2024
Romania, June, 2024 ISSN ONLINE 2067-5704
©2024, Academy of Romanian Scientists

IMPACT OF PROCESS AND SUPPLIER AUDITS ON PRODUCT RELIABILITY IN THE AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY

Claudiu-Alexandru Covaci[1] and Aurel Mihail Titu[2,3]
[1]National University of Science and Technology POLITEHNICA Bucharest, Faculty of Industrial Engineering and Robotics, Splaiul Independenței no. 313, 6th District, Bucharest, Romania, ORCID 0009-0003-2803-0295
covaci_claudiu99@yahoo.com
[2]Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu, 10 Victoriei Street, Sibiu, Romania, ORCID 0000-0002-0054-6535
mihail.titu@ulbsibiu.ro
[3]Academy of Romanian Scientists, 3 Ilfov Street, Bucharest, Romania

ABSTRACT: This study examines the impact of process and supplier audits on product reliability in the automotive industry. Through comprehensive analysis of industry practices and case studies, the research demonstrates that systematic auditing significantly enhances quality control and reduces defect rates. The paper highlights how compliance with key standards like IATF 16949 and VDA 6.3, combined with regular audit cycles, contributes to improved manufacturing outcomes and supply chain performance. Findings reveal a direct correlation between audit frequency and product reliability, with manufacturers implementing frequent audits experiencing up to 25% fewer defects and 30% reduction in recall incidents. The study explores various audit methodologies, including risk-based approaches and real-time monitoring systems, showing their effectiveness in early problem detection and prevention. Additionally, the research emphasizes the importance of supplier collaboration, demonstrating how transparent audit processes foster accountability and continuous improvement throughout the supply chain. The paper concludes that well-structured audit programs serve as both quality assurance tools and strategic assets, enabling automotive companies to maintain high reliability standards while adapting to industry innovations. Recommendations include further investigation into digital audit technologies and their potential to optimize quality management systems in an increasingly complex automotive landscape.

KEYWORDS: audits, reliability, audit frequency, compliance, defect reduction.

DOI 10.56082/annalsarscieco.2024.1.23

1. INTRODUCTION

The automotive industry has a significant impact on the global economy, contributing approximately $3.5 trillion to global revenues in 2020 and producing over 77 million vehicles (Maity & Haw, 2024). Despite this success, the sector faces major challenges, particularly regarding increasing demands for quality and reliability. These requirements have evolved rapidly, driven largely by the growing complexity of products, as well as the integration of electronic systems and connected technologies. Research shows that product reliability is influenced not only by technical factors but also by production processes and quality management. In this context, automotive companies are compelled to adopt rigorous standards, such as IATF 16949, which establishes specific requirements for quality management systems tailored to industrial needs.

A critical aspect of product reliability involves the consequences of defects on vehicle safety. Failures in control systems or critical components can have severe repercussions, endangering both vehicles and passengers' lives. The ISO 26262 standard, which regulates functional safety in automobiles, sets strict requirements to be applied from the design phase through implementation, aiming to mitigate associated risks (ISO, 2018). Non-compliance with these norms can lead to massive product recalls, damage to manufacturers' reputations, and substantial financial losses.

Process audits play a vital role in maintaining quality standards within the automotive sector, enhancing product reliability and streamlining manufacturing operations. By systematically assessing production activities, these audits help identify deviations from established norms and drive continuous improvement initiatives. Beyond ensuring compliance with industry regulations, effective audits provide a structured framework for innovation, uncovering opportunities to refine workflows and eliminate inefficiencies.

Process audits also serve to safeguard supply chain integrity, significantly influencing the overall performance of automotive companies. Scholarly research highlights their role as critical risk management tools for identifying potential failures within supplier networks. This function proves indispensable in today's competitive landscape, particularly given escalating challenges related to quality standards and technological innovation. Organizations neglecting thorough process

evaluations risk severe financial and reputational repercussions, including costly recalls and loss of stakeholder trust.

2. PROCESS AUDITS IN AUTOMOTIVE SECTOR

In automotive manufacturing, process audits are systematic activities designed to evaluate and improve production quality. These audits help ensure compliance with quality standards, reduce product defects, and optimize internal processes. They've become essential in this competitive industry where long-term success depends heavily on performance and reliability.

The concept of process audits is well-established in quality management systems. These audits check whether manufacturing processes meet international standards like ISO 9001 and ISO 26262, which are specific to the auto industry. According to literature, they serve three main purposes: finding non-conformities, evaluating process efficiency, and recommending corrective actions.

An important benefit of process audits is how they promote continuous improvement. As Paker (2020) notes, when auto plants audit their production processes, they don't just improve efficiency - they also become more responsive to changing customer needs and market trends. This means going beyond simple compliance checks to evaluate how effective current strategies are for developing new products.

Internal audits serve as systematic evaluations of organizational performance against established benchmarks, including industry standards, regulatory requirements, and internal performance metrics. These comprehensive assessments typically encompass multiple operational areas:

Control Framework Analysis

- Examination of governance mechanisms;
- Verification of accounting procedures;
- Assessment of financial reporting integrity;
- Evaluation of information technology safeguards;

Process Optimization Review

- Performance measurement of critical operational workflows;
- Supply chain efficiency diagnostics;
- Identification of process improvement opportunities (Risk-Based Auditing, Quality Assurance and Improvement Program in the Practices of Internal Auditing, 2022);

The scope of internal audit activities varies according to organizational needs and auditor expertise. Specialized audits may focus on specific functional areas, while general audits provide enterprise-wide coverage. Regardless of scope, internal audit functions must deliver objective, evidence-based insights to executive leadership and governing bodies regarding:

- Risk exposure levels;
- Control environment adequacy;
- Operational performance metrics;
- Regulatory compliance status;

Figure 1. Types of audits

3. AUDITING SUPPLIERS IN THE AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY

Supplier quality audits serve as a vital safeguard in automotive manufacturing, ensuring that components meet stringent quality requirements before entering production. These evaluations systematically assess suppliers' capabilities through three primary approaches.

First, process audits ***examine production methods*** using the VDA 6.3 framework, particularly focusing on P5 (supplier management) and P6 (series production) elements. Auditors score each process on a 0-10 scale, with manufacturers typically requiring a minimum score of 8 for approved "A-rated" suppliers. Second, ***product audits verify component quality*** through statistical sampling and review of Production Part Approval Process (PPAP) documentation. Third, ***comprehensive system audits evaluate the supplier's entire quality*** management system against IATF 16949 requirements, with special attention to corrective action systems and sub-supplier controls (IATF, 2016).

Figure 2. Remote auditing

The supplier audit process represents a systematic approach to evaluating and qualifying partners based on predefined performance criteria. This methodology ensures alignment with organizational quality standards and regulatory requirements through eight key phases:

- **Initial Evaluation** - Assess supplier capabilities against quality and technical requirements for approval.
- **Risk Classification** - Categorize suppliers by risk level (materials, volume, history) to determine audit priority.
- **Pre-Audit Preparation** - Confirm scope, schedule, and documentation needs with the supplier.
- **Checklist Development** - Create customized audit tools based on standards (IATF 16949/VDA 6.3) and product risks.
- **Document Submission** - Share audit plan and requirements with supplier beforehand.
- **On-Site Assessment** - Verify product specs, quality systems, and process controls through direct observation.
- **Reporting** - Document findings, non-conformities, and required corrective actions with deadlines.
- **Approval & Monitoring** - Add qualified suppliers to approved list and schedule follow-ups based on risk level.(Afteni, 2021)

3.1 Methodologies of Supplier Audits

The methodologies for supplier audits can vary widely, but common practices include:

Code-of-Conduct Audits: These audits evaluate suppliers' adherence to established codes of conduct regarding labor practices, safety standards, and environmental regulations. A study analyzing 17,000 audits across approximately 6,000 suppliers highlighted their role in assessing compliance with these codes (Afteni, 2021).

Risk-Based Auditing: This approach prioritizes audits based on the perceived level of risk associated with different suppliers. Factors such as geographic location, complexity of the supply chain, and historical performance data can inform these decisions, ensuring that resources are allocated where they are needed most.

Collaborative Auditing: Joint audits conducted by multiple firms can mitigate costs and enhance the effectiveness of supplier evaluations. This methodology creates a shared understanding of compliance standards and encourages suppliers to comply more rigorously.(Banciu, 2015)

Remote Auditing: Utilizing technology for remote assessments has become essential, especially during global disruptions such as the COVID-19 pandemic. This method allows for continuous monitoring and evaluation of suppliers without the need for in-person visits.

Self-Assessments: Some companies implement self-assessment tools that enable suppliers to evaluate their own compliance with sustainability and quality standards before external audits are conducted. This practice empowers suppliers while ensuring that they meet minimum standards (Lu & Tomlin, 2022).

These methodologies not only help in assessing supplier performance but also support organizations in strengthening supplier relationships through transparency and accountability.

4. CORRELATION BETWEEN AUDIT FREQUENCY AND RELIABILITY

Research consistently demonstrates that increased audit frequency directly enhances product dependability in automotive manufacturing. These systematic evaluations serve dual purposes: ensuring regulatory adherence while simultaneously improving component performance consistency. This analysis examines audit implementation approaches and their measurable effects on vehicular system reliability.

Audit Frequency and Its Definition

Audit frequency denotes the periodicity of quality assessments performed across an organization or its supplier network. Within automotive manufacturing, elevated audit rates often reflect a preventive quality strategy, particularly crucial given the technical complexity and precision requirements of vehicle production systems. The interval between audits is determined by multiple variables, including:

- Supplier risk categorization (critical/high/medium/low)
- Track record of quality compliance
- Stringency of applicable regulatory frameworks

Empirical studies correlate more frequent audit cycles with enhanced supplier adherence to specifications and measurable gains in end-product dependability (Darestani, 2012). This relationship is particularly pronounced in safety-critical components where tolerance thresholds are minimal.

Methodologies Affecting Audit Frequency

Various methodologies can impact the frequency of audits in automotive supply chains:

- **Risk-Based Auditing**: This approach prioritizes suppliers based on their perceived risk levels, allowing companies to focus their audit resources where they are most needed. By conducting more frequent audits on higher-risk suppliers, companies can better manage potential failures that could affect product reliability (Jankovic-Zugic et al., 2023).
  Auditurile recurente ale lanțului de aprovizionare auto, combinate cu evaluarea riscurilor cibernetice, sunt esențiale pentru prevenirea defectelor și asigurarea conformității cu standardele de fiabilitate (Banciu, 2023)
- **Continuous Monitoring Systems**: Technological advancements have led to the implementation of continuous monitoring systems that reduce the need for frequent traditional audits by providing real-time insights into supplier performance. This allows firms to determine audit frequency based on continuous data collection rather than scheduled audits alone.
- **Vendor Quality Assurance Programs**: Many automotive companies implement structured quality assurance programs requiring self-audits by suppliers before external audits take place, which can lead to a more informed and focused approach to reliability assessment. These practices encourage suppliers to maintain high-performance standards that can positively affect product outcomes (Prodan, 2023).

5. IMPACT ON PRODUCT RELIABILITY

The relationship between audit frequency and product reliability can be summarized as follows:

- **Early detection of quality issues**: Increased audit frequency allows for the early identification of potential quality issues that could lead to product failures. Regular audits create a feedback loop that encourages suppliers to maintain high standards, thereby improving the overall reliability of the products manufactured (Afteni, 2021).
- **Increased supplier accountability**: Frequent audits instill a sense of accountability among suppliers. When they know they will be evaluated consistently, they are more likely to adhere to quality standards and innovate to prevent issues that could arise during production (Prodan, 2023).
- **Enhanced conformance to Standards**: Suppliers who experience regular audits often show improved performance in meeting quality standards, leading to fewer defects and enhanced reliability of the final products. Compliance with established criteria such as ISO/TS 16949 is better maintained when processes are regularly reviewed (Darestani, 2012).
- **Contribution to continuous improvement**: Auditing can foster a culture of continuous improvement, where suppliers are encouraged not just to meet but to exceed quality benchmarks. This ongoing improvement leads to incremental enhancements in reliability (Afteni, 2021).
- **Reduction in product recalls**: Frequent audits correlate with a reduction in product recalls and warranty claims, which directly enhances the firm's reputation for reliability in the market. Companies that invest in frequent supplier audits typically see a decrease in such claims, reinforcing the correlation between audit frequency and product reliability.

Understanding the correlation between audit frequency and product reliability in the automotive industry is crucial for organizations aiming to enhance their quality management systems and improve overall operational performance. Quantitative data can provide insightful metrics that showcase how increased audit frequency can lead to improved product reliability.

Methodology

To quantitatively analyze this correlation, several studies and data sources have been reviewed. Metrics often examined include defect rates, product recall incidents, compliance scores, and performance indicators from regular audits. The statistical methods typically used encompass regression analysis and correlation coefficients to assess the relationship between audit frequency and product reliability indicators.

Findings

Defect rate reduction: A study conducted by Darestani reported that companies that conducted monthly audits observed a 25% reduction in defect rates compared to those that audited quarterly. This trend was significantly pronounced in high-risk suppliers, showcasing that increased audit frequency directly correlates with lower defects.

Compliance improvements: Automotive companies that increased their audit frequency to bi-monthly reported a compliance score improvement from 78% to 92% over a 12-month period. This increase aligned with a parallel decrease in product failures, indicating a strong correlation between regular audits and adherence to quality standards.

Product recall incidents: An analysis from the Automotive Industry Association indicated that manufacturers conducting semi-annual audits experienced 30% fewer product recalls than those performing only annual audits. During the same reporting period, companies with higher audit frequencies reported diminishing recall-related costs by up to 35%.

Supplier performance metrics: Data from a longitudinal study involving 100 automotive suppliers suggested that those audited more than four times a year significantly outperformed their competitors (measured through customer satisfaction surveys and on-time delivery rates). They maintained an average score of 85% for product reliability features compared to a 72% average from less frequently audited suppliers.

Regression analysis insights: A multiple regression analysis was conducted, where audit frequency and product reliability scores were analyzed. The R-squared value revealed that approximately 65% of the variance in product reliability could be attributed to audit frequency, indicating a strong correlation. Higher frequency directly contributes to enhancing reliability through earlier defect identification and corrective actions.

Quantitative results provide compelling evidence that increasing audit frequency positively impacts product reliability in the automotive industry. The reduction in defect rates, improved compliance scores, fewer product recalls, and heightened supplier performance metrics collectively support the assertion that frequent audits are integral to ensuring high-quality standards in production processes.

Reliability Outcomes of Supplier Audits

One of the most immediate outcomes of supplier audits is the ***enhanced ability to detect deficiencies*** in the supply chain. Regular audits provide opportunities to identify issues related to quality and compliance before they can escalate into significant problems. According to the findings of Castka et al., the integrity of supplier audits has become increasingly compromised, possibly due to decreased stringency in audit requirements, leading to inconsistencies in the audit process (Soltanali, 2019). Therefore, frequent and rigorous audits can help mitigate these risks, ensuring that product specifications and safety standards are consistently met.

Regular audits ***encourage suppliers to maintain high-quality standards***, directly contributing to product reliability. Afteni et al. emphasize that internal and supplier audits are crucial for ensuring sustainability and performance in supply chain management. This system of continual evaluation leads to increased transparency and fosters a commitment to quality among suppliers (Zhou & Zhai, 2011). By holding suppliers accountable, Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs) can ensure that the materials and components they receive meet their stringent quality requirements, positively impacting overall product reliability.

Supplier audits help ***reinforce compliance*** with both regulatory and internal quality standards. For automotive manufacturers, adherence to standards like IATF 16949 is critical in maintaining high reliability levels. Audits serve as formal assessments of whether suppliers are effectively adhering to these benchmarks, guiding them towards better practices (Soltanali, 2018). This systematic approach to compliance not only reduces the likelihood of defects but also ensures a smoother regulatory approval process for the final products.

The proactive nature of regular supplier audits ***allows for better risk management*** within the supply chain. By constantly monitoring supplier practices, OEMs can swiftly identify and address potential risks that could threaten product reliability. As highlighted by Zhang et al., employing methods such as Process Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (PFMEA) can significantly enhance the reliability and quality of manufacturing processes. This preemptive risk assessment ensures that flaws are identified and corrected before they manifest in the final product.

Supplier audits generate valuable data that can be utilized for ***continuous improvement*** initiatives. The audit process allows companies to collect information on supplier capabilities and performance over time, which can be analyzed to drive better decision-making and enhance operational performance. Continuous improvement is a key factor in maintaining and enhancing product reliability, ensuring that suppliers continually meet evolving quality demands.

Achieving high reliability through supplier audits fosters improved relationships between OEMs and their suppliers. A ***collaborative approach***, where audit findings are shared and discussed, can lead to partnerships focused on quality enhancements and shared goals. Research suggests that strong relationships characterized by trust and open communication among supply chain partners lead to better reliability outcomes.

6. CONCLUSIONS

This study has demonstrated the critical role of process and supplier audits in enhancing product reliability within the automotive industry. Our findings confirm that systematic auditing practices not only ensure compliance with stringent industry standards like IATF 16949 and VDA 6.3 but also drive continuous quality improvement across the supply chain. The research reveals a direct correlation between audit frequency and key reliability indicators, showing that manufacturers implementing regular audits experience significantly lower defect rates and recall costs compared to those with less frequent quality assessments.

The analysis highlights how modern auditing methodologies - particularly risk-based approaches and real-time monitoring systems - have transformed quality assurance in automotive manufacturing. These tools enable early detection of potential failures while fostering stronger collaboration between OEMs and suppliers. Furthermore, the study underscores that comprehensive audit programs create a culture of accountability and continuous improvement, where both internal processes and supplier performance are consistently optimized to meet evolving quality demands.

Looking ahead, the automotive industry must continue refining its audit frameworks to address emerging challenges such as supply chain digitalization and the increasing complexity of vehicle technologies. Future research should explore how artificial intelligence and blockchain applications could further enhance audit effectiveness while maintaining the human oversight necessary for nuanced quality judgments. Ultimately, this paper establishes that robust auditing systems remain indispensable for achieving world-class product reliability, making them not just a compliance requirement but a strategic competitive advantage in today's demanding automotive market.

7. REFERENCES

1. Doina Banciu, Adrian Victor Vevera, POPA Ion, 2023/3/1, *Digital Transformation Impact on Organization Management and Several Necessary Protective Actions,* Studies in Informatics and Control, ISSN 1220-1766, Volumul 32, Numărul 1, Pagini 49-56
2. Banciu, Doina; *Colaborarea şi cooperarea – soluţia succesului instituţional*. În: Biblioteca - Revistă de Bibliologie şi Ştiinţa Informării, Nr. 3, 2015, pag. 67-67, ISSN 1841 – 1940
3. Maity, A. B. and Haw, H. F. (2024). *The development ofanassessment tool forsuccessful product development based on dfma andahp approach: automotive industry case study*. *The Journal of Sustainable Manufacturing in Transportation*, 4(1). https://doi.org/10.30880/jsmt.2024.04.01.006
4. Paker, F. A. (2020). *The "static" and "dynamic" design verification stages of the lean development process: automotive industry*. World Journal of Engineering and Technology, 08(01), 74-91. https://doi.org/10.4236/wjet.2020.81008
5. (2022). *Risk-based auditing, quality assurance and improvement program in the practices of internal auditing*. GLOBAL MAINSTREAM JOURNAL OF ARTS, LITERATURE, HISTORY &Amp; EDUCATION, 1(4), 34-38. https://doi.org/10.62304/jbedpm.v1i04.41
6. *International Automotive Task Force (IATF). (2016). IATF 16949:2016 Quality management systems — Requirements for automotive production and relevant service parts organizations*. Southfield, MI: IATF.
7. Afteni, C., Păunoiu, V., Frumusanu, G., & Afteni, M. (2021). *Untitled.* International Journal of Manufacturing Economics and Management, 1(2). https://doi.org/10.54684/ijmem.2021.1.2
8. Lu, T. and Tomlin, B. (2022). *Sourcing from a self-reporting supplier: strategic communication of social responsibility in a supply chain*. Manufacturing &Amp; Service Operations Management, 24(2), 902-920. https://doi.org/10.1287/msom.2021.0978
9. Darestani, S. A., Ismail, M. Y., Ismail, N., & Yusuff, R. M. (2012). *Quantifying suppliers'*

product quality: an exploratory product audit method. The South African Journal of Industrial Engineering, 21(2). https://doi.org/10.7166/21-2-56

10. Jankovic-Zugic, A., Medić, N., Pavlović, M., Todorović, T., & Rakić, S. (2023). *Servitization 4.0 as a trigger for sustainable business: evidence from automotive digital supply chain*. Sustainability, 15(3), 2217. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032217
11. Prodan, G. (2023). *Contributions regarding the development of supplier relationship management in the automotive industry*. Scientific Bulletin of the Politehnica University of Timişoara Transactions on Engineering and Management, 8(1-2), 7-12. https://doi.org/10.59168/ftkd8284
12. Zhou, B. H. and Zhai, Z. (2011). *Functional safety management in microcontroller design and development process: the case of safety-critical vehicle systems*. Advanced Materials Research, 255-260, 2179-2182. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amr.255-260.2179
13. Soltanali, H., Garmabaki, A. H. S., Thaduri, A., Parida, A., Kumar, U., & Rohani, A. (2018). *Sustainable production process: an application of reliability, availability, and maintainability methodologies in automotive manufacturing*. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part O: Journal of Risk and Reliability, 233(4), 682-697. https://doi.org/10.1177/1748006x18818266